

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,633	11/05/2002	Yaw-Yuh Yang	8237-US-PA	4230	
31561	7590 03/31/2003				
	JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			EXAMINER 15	
7 FLOOR-Ï, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI. 100			CHERVINSKY	, BORIS LEO	
TAIWAN	,	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/065,633	YANG, YAW-YUH
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I	orrespondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under l Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
Application Papers	orodion roquiromonii.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		· .
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2002</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
Attachment(s)	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/065,633

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art disclosed in the instant application in view of Liu et al.

The prior art disclosed in the instant application (see Fig. 3) shows the packaging structure of the claimed invention except a ringed projection being formed on the top of the heat slug so that the molding compound encapsulating the chip would not cover the portion of the heat slug externally exposing the outer heat dissipating surface. Liu discloses the heat slug 1 having ring projection 13 to prevent the encapsulating compound to cover the portion of the heat slug 1 (col.1, lines 59—67). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have ring projection as disclosed by Liu et al. in the structure shown in the instant application as the prior art for allowing the exposed portion of thee heat slug to efficiently dissipate heat. Regarding to claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 15, Liu discloses the ring projection except specifying its size. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the ring projections of being from 10 µm to 20 µm in height and from 100 µm to 500 µm in width since such a modification would have involved a mere change in

Page 3

the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

The method steps of claims 13-16 are necessitated by the device structure as shown on Fig. 3 of the instant application and disclosed by Liu et al.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINE Goria

luni nom

March 25, 2003